

SLOUGH SCHOOLS FORUM

SCHOOLS GROUP:

Maggie Waller (Chair), Julia Shepard (Vice-Chair), Barbara Clark, Gillian Coffey, John Constable, Harry Duffy, Martin Davis, Helen Huntley, Diane Lister, Maureen Mallinson, Paul McAteer, Charlie McGeachie, Christine Mountford, Kevin O'Driscoll, Jon Reekie, Mary Sparrow, Maggie Stacey, Jo Rockall, Kate Webb and Virginia Barrett

OBSERVERS:

Lynda Bussley

ATTENDEES

Councillor Natasa Pantelic and Angela Mellish

LOCAL EDUCATION AUTHORITY

Robin Crofts, Michelle Perkins, Wendy Sagar, Jean Cameron and Roger Edwardson

DATE & TIME: WEDNESDAY, 12TH SEPTEMBER, 2012 AT 8.00 AM
BEECHWOOD RESEARCH AND CONFERENCE CENTRE, LONG
READINGS LANE, SLOUGH, BERKSHIRE, SL2 1QE

SUPPLEMENTARY PAPERS

The following Papers have been added to the agenda for the above meeting:-

Items 5 and 8 were not available for publication with the rest of the agenda.

	<u>Page</u>
5. Implementation of DfE Funding Reform: update on progress	(Pages 1 - 42)
8. Headroom	(Pages 43 - 48)

This page is intentionally left blank

Notional Schools Block: 5-16 Funding

The Proposed Funding Arrangements, including Draft Formula for Slough

Introduction

The government announced in March reform to the arrangements for School Funding 2013-14, including arrangements for creating a simple, more consistent and transparent funding system.

The Department for Education (DfE) believe that the considerable flexibility that is currently allowed in the distribution of funding locally makes their objective to raise the aspirations and attainment of all pupils difficult to achieve. The DfE want to ensure that the money that is intended for schools reaches schools and the pupils that need it most and believes that the current system has, in too many authorities, resulted in the generation of very complex local formulae. The new, simple, more consistent and transparent funding system has been designed to:

- Maintain local discretion over funding;
- Ensure as much funding reaches schools as possible; maintaining and improving the arrangements for equivalent and consistent funding between maintained schools and Academies;
- Enable leaders to understand the basis on which their institutions are funded;
- Support the needs of pupils; and
- Be more responsive to pupil numbers and demand from parents.

The first part of the new arrangements will be to delegate as many services and as much funding as possible to schools. This will give head teachers, principals and governors much more control over how funding is spent. Only in a small number of cases will notional Schools Block¹ funding be held centrally.

The second part of the new arrangements will be to reduce the number of factors that can be used in local formulae to distribute funding. Formulae are currently based on as many as 37 different criteria or factors: this will reduce to a maximum of 12. Each local authority will be required to publish details of its formula on a simple, clear and consistent pro-forma.

The new arrangements will also enable a more efficient and effective means of funding Academies on a comparable basis to maintained schools.

¹ The Notional Schools Budget is the balance of the Dedicated Schools Grant after deducting the notional High Needs Block and the notional Early Years Block.

As the body responsible for coordinating education and school funding in Slough, Schools Forum has been consulted on the new arrangements as they have evolved. Alongside announcements regarding the new arrangements, the DfE consulted on aspects of detail during April and May. A joint response on behalf of Slough and Schools Forum was submitted. The final arrangements, clarifying important aspects of detail, were published at the end of June 2012. The proposals in respect of the notional Schools Block arrangements for Slough were reviewed by a joint meeting of SSEF (Slough Strategic Education Forum) and Schools Forum on 7 September.

Following this initial consultation and review, this document is intended to provide a detailed presentation of the proposals.

A number of factors have been taken into consideration in coming up with the proposed formula including:

- Policy drivers;
- recognition of the effectiveness of the current local formula to target resources,
- allowable factors;
- requirement to use published DfE datasets; and
- feedback from initial consultation with SASH (Slough Association of Secondary Head teachers), SSEF (Slough Strategic Education Forum) and Schools Forum.

Slough Borough Council is required to conduct open and transparent consultation on the proposals with all maintained schools and academies. The consultation is required to show the effect for each school and recruitment Academy of moving from the existing formula to the new formula, including the effect of protection. Non-recruitment Academies and free schools should also be consulted on the proposed formula itself.

In order to support the consultation, three open sessions will be held for Governors, Head teachers and Bursars as follows:

- Tuesday 25 September Noon at xx;
- Tuesday 25 September 6pm at xx; and
- Wednesday 3 October Noon at xx.

The final decision on the Slough 5-16 formula rests with the elected Members of Slough Borough the Council.

The new arrangements will be implemented for all maintained schools and Academies for the funding period commencing on 1 April 2013.

If you would like to discuss the content of the document please feel free to contact Wendy Sagar, Project Manager (Education Funding Reform) at Slough Borough Council on 01753 875627 or by email: wendy.sagar@slough.gov.uk

The Current Funding Framework

The Dedicated Schools Grant (£128m) currently funds all 5-16 education. Most of the funding (£118m) is delegated to all schools and Academies through the Slough funding formula. A small school specific contingency (£1m) and certain services supporting schools are managed by the local authority from budgets held centrally (£9m).

Individual School Budgets and the Local Formula

All Schools

Primary and secondary schools are funded through the local formula based on the number of pupils on roll at the time of the school census in January. These numbers and the characteristics of pupils feed into formula factors which generate funding streams such as pupils without statements and deprivation.

There are no adjustments made to the budget during the year to take into consideration changes in pupil numbers.

There are 14 factors in the local formula that apply to both primary and secondary schools:

1. Age weighted pupil unit (AWPU). There are currently 6 separate per-pupil rates depending on the age of each pupil: (i) Reception £2,681; Key Stage 1 £2,701; Key Stage 2 £2,603; Key Stage 3 £3,432; Year 14 £3,933 and Year 15 £4,183.
2. Deprivation (Free School Meals). Each pupil on recorded as eligible for free school meals attract £276.05 in primary schools and £182.84 in secondary schools. This increases to £828.14 for eligible primary pupils and £548.52 for eligible secondary pupils where more than 15% of pupils in the school are eligible for free school meals.
3. Deprivation (Index of Multiple Deprivation). No payment is made for pupils in the band for the 20% most affluent pupils based on the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD). All other pupils attract a supplementary rate depending on their band: band 1 (most deprived 20%) £263.17 primary or £357.10 secondary, band 2 £210.53 primary or £285.68 secondary, band 3 £168.42 primary or £228.54 secondary and band 4 (least deprived) £134.74 primary or £182.83 secondary.
4. Pupils without statements (prior attainment). Key stage 2 pupils attract a supplement based on key stage 1 SATS and key stage 3 pupils attract a supplement based on key stage 2 SATS.

5. Pupils without statements (IMD). Pupils at reception, key stage 1 and key stage 4 attract a supplement based on the IMD in lieu of prior attainment data.
6. Turbulence. Any primary or secondary school attracts £2,805.22 for every extra 5 pupils who joined the school during the previous 12 months outside standard points of entry.
7. Lump sum. Each primary school receives £55,176 and each secondary school receives £54,996. Claycotts received an additional pro-rata lump sum for the new school in the Town Hall from 1 September 2012.
8. Rates. All schools are funded for the actual cost of their rates.
9. Public Finance Initiative (PFI). Penn Wood and Beechwood are funded for the actual cost of their PFI payment.
10. Catering. Each school receives a lump sum contribution to a kitchen (£1,263.84 primary; £1,306.81 secondary) plus an amount for each eligible pupil's meals (£1.75 primary; £1.96 secondary).
11. Small school protection. Primary schools with less than 240 pupils on roll attract an additional £286.40 per pupil. Secondary schools with less than 750 pupils attract £488.70 per pupil. **(WS – check whether all pupils or per pupil to make up to threshold)**
12. Expansion funding. Primary schools receive £80,700 for each new form of entry or bulge class planned for the following September. Secondary schools receive £100,801 for each new form of entry or bulge class planned for the following September.
13. Teachers Pay Grant. Each school receives a lump sum (£4,504 primary; £4,239 secondary) plus an additional amount per eligible teacher (£3,515 primary; £4,094 secondary).
14. Mainstreamed grants. Each school receives a historic allocation based on their share of the grants that were rolled into DSG for the financial year 2011-12.

In addition, schools are also funded for individual pupils with statements and Resource Units through their school budget shares.

Finally, where appropriate schools may also attract additional funding through the minimum funding guarantee which ensures that per pupil funding does not reduce beyond a value set by the DfE, currently -1.5%.

Primary Schools

In addition to the above common factors, primary schools also receive formula funding for:

1. Partial class size, based on the % of unfilled places.

2. New schools, through a combination of ghost funding at the AWPU rate per place and £95.07 per place for unfilled forms of entry.

Secondary Schools

In addition to the above common factors, secondary schools also receive funding for personalised learning, distributed on the basis of historic prior attainment (a 3 year aggregate as at 2009-10).

The funding for 6th forms received from the Education Funding Agency is passported direct to secondary schools.

School Specific Contingency

Slough Borough Council retains ring-fenced contingency budgets for the following:

- Arbour Vale banding correction (£321,600)
- Early Years Single Funding Formula (EYSFF) contingency (£200,000);
- Significant September intake (£216,300);
- Bulge classes / new forms of entry (£200,000);
- Rates adjustment (£49,800); and
- DSG pupil number variation (£150,000).

The banding correction and EYSFF contingency are specific to High Needs and Early Years respectively. For the balance of the contingency funding, Academies became responsible for contingency issues and budgets from 1 April 2012 through the LACSEG² grant distributed by the Education Funding Agency on a per-pupil basis. The EFA recoup this funding from Slough's DSG.

Any remaining contingency at year end is distributed back to schools in accordance with the regulations.

Local Authority Managed Budgets

² Local Authority central Services Grant

With the approval of Schools Forum, the local authority retains a number of budgets. The budgets are as follows:

- High Needs budgets (£6.661m);
- Early Years budgets (£0.2m);
- Contribution to combined budgets (£1.193m);
- Behaviour Support Services (£587,000 all primary and secondary schools and Academies);
- 14-16 More practical learning options (£339,000 all secondary schools and secondary Academies);
- Servicing of Schools Forums (£53,055);
- Staff costs – supply cover for trade union support and general £40,000 all primary and secondary schools and Academies);
- Capital expenditure from revenue (£149,100); and
- School Admissions (£277,530).

Once a school converts to Academy status, it takes on responsibility for behaviour support services, 14-16 more practical learning and staff costs (supply cover). Academies are funded through the LACSEG grant distributed by the Education Funding Agency on a per-pupil basis and the EFA recoup this funding from Slough's DSG.

Any remaining local authority managed budget remaining at year end is reported to Schools Forum as headroom and distributed according to Schools Forum decisions.

New Funding Framework

The final gross Dedicated Schools Grant for Slough in 2012-13 is £128.235m and in accordance with the new arrangements and guidance, this has been analysed over the three new notional blocks as follows:

Notional High Needs Block	£19.790m
Notional Early Years Block	£ 8.699m
Notional Schools Block	£99.746m

This consultation document addresses the proposed arrangements for the Notional Schools Block.

Under the new arrangements, all the notional Schools Block funding has to be delegated to schools unless Schools Forum approve central retention.

Schools Forum has approved the retention of historic and statutory budgets in the past and Slough will seek approval to continue to retain these budgets (£1.672m).

Funding for significant pre-16 pupil growth can also be retained before allocating formula with the agreement of Schools Forum.

Slough has a rapidly increasing population, including a 50% increase in the 0-5 population in the ten years since 2001. Schools have already delivered a key stage 1 expansion programme to support this rapid growth in pupil numbers to date. Growth is now feeding through into key stage 2 and expansion in secondary places will be required in the future. In order to support coordinated growth provision, Slough intends to create a growth fund from the Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) in advance of allocating school budget shares. The growth fund will be ring-fenced so that it is only used for the purposes of supporting growth in pupil numbers to meet basic need and will be for the benefit of both maintained schools and Academies.

Question

Do you support the central retention of a Growth Fund for funding significant pre-16 pupil growth in primary schools, secondary schools and Academies?

Schools Forum is required to approve the transparent and consistent criteria on which any growth funding is to be allocated and agree any allocations.

Question

Do you agree with the following proposed criteria for the Growth Fund?

- **For each new form of entry recommended by the School Organisation Committee and endorsed by Schools Forum, the appropriate AWPUP for a full class; and**
- **For each bulge class provided at the request of the local authority and endorsed by Schools Forum, the appropriate AWPUP for a full class.**

In either case, additional funding would continue until the actual pupil numbers for the new form of entry or bulge class is included in the October count and funded through the formula.

It is proposed that the current contingencies held for Significant September Intake (£195k) and School Places (£189k) are transferred into the Growth fund. Any funds remaining at the end of the financial year will be added to the following year's DSG and reallocated to maintained schools and Academies through the formula.

Question

Do you agree that the contingency funding previously held centrally is transferred into a Growth Fund?

The balance of the notional Schools Block will then be distributed to all primary schools and Academies through a simpler, compliant local formula.

There are 10 allowable factors:

1. a basic per-pupil entitlement;
2. deprivation – measured by Free School Meals (FSM) and / or Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI);
3. looked after children;
4. prior attainment as a proxy measure for SEN, measured by the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile for primary aged pupils and English and match attainment at key stage 2 for secondary age pupils;
5. English as an additional language, for a maximum of 3 years after the pupil enters the statutory age school system;
6. pupil mobility;

- 7. standard lump sum for each school;
 - 8. split sites;
 - 9. rates; and
 - 10. private finance initiative (PFI) contracts.
- Factors 1 and 2 are mandatory whereas factors 3 to 10 are optional.

The current factors in the local formula have been mapped as far as possible to the allowable factors in the new formula. The proposed formula is as follows:

Factor	Primary £	Secondary £	Data
Basic per-pupil entitlement	2,959	KS3 £3,757 KS4 £4,374	Pupil count Oct
Deprivation	1,368	2,480	Ever 6 FSM
			IDACI Band 1
			IDACI Band 2
	437	532	IDACI Band 3
	547	665	IDACI Band 4
	682	830	IDACI Band 5
	853	1,038	IDACI Band 6
Prior attainment	1,449		EYFSP 73 or less points
		4,703	Not achieving both English and maths at KS2
Pupil mobility	191	191	
Lump sum	55,000	55,000	
Rates			Estimated actual
PFI			Estimated actual

The above values are based on allocating the notional Schools Block funding envelope and are subject to change if, following consultation, changes are made to the proposals.

The Slough formula does not currently target resources towards children for whom English is an additional language (EAL). However, pupils with EAL often require additional support. The DfE have considered evidence on how much support is needed and have decided that 3 years – from the point at which the pupil enters compulsory education in England – should be sufficient. This factor would not target 2nd or 3rd generation pupils as it can only be applied based on data sets issued by the DfE.

Question

Do you agree that we should include English as an Additional Language as a factor in the formula?

The DfE believe that with early intervention, pupils with EAL can achieve well, even earlier. However the cost of supporting pupils who start school in England at an older age can be considerably higher. We would be able to allocate one rate for EAL pupils in primary schools and a different rate for EAL pupils in secondary schools.

Question

If an EAL factor is included, do you agree that we should have different rates for EAL in primary and secondary schools?

Schools that operate on split sites often face higher running costs as a result of, for example, the cost of travel between sites and the care and maintenance of two sites. The current Slough formula does not make any provision for the additional costs incurred by schools operating from split sites. **Need to confirm whether we have 2 schools or a split site at Claycotts**

Question

Do you agree that we should not include a split site factor in the formula?

The new arrangements restrict the number of allowable factors in the local 5-16 formula. Most of the current factors in the Slough formula have been mapped to compliant factors. However, there are five factors which cannot be included in the formula. The compliant factors which could be used to distribute this funding are: basic pupil entitlement; deprivation; low cost, high incidence SEN; lump sum or pupil mobility.

Question

Do you agree that the funding for the following current factors which are no longer compliant should be funded through the basic per-pupil entitlement?

- (a) Teachers Pay Grant
- (b) Small school protection
- (c) Catering
- (d) New Schools; and
- (e) Partial Class Size

The attached schedule shows the breakdown of the former mainstream grants factor in the current formula which is also no longer compliant. The compliant factors which could be used to distribute this funding are: basic pupil entitlement; deprivation; low cost, high incidence SEN; English as an additional language; lump sum and / or pupil mobility.

Question

Do you agree that the funding for the former mainstream grants, which is also no longer compliant under the new arrangements, should be funded through a combination of:

- (a) Basic per-pupil entitlement
- (b) Deprivation; and
- (c) Low cost / high incidence SEN

New Delegation

Several budget items which can currently be retained centrally will now have to be delegated through the formula from 2013-14. For each of these, it is necessary to identify how funding will be delegated through allowable factors. This new delegation will support schools as commissioners through the maximum delegation of responsibilities and funding.

Question

Do you agree that the contingency funding previously held centrally or distributed to Academies through LACSEG for rates variations and changes in DSG pupil numbers should be delegated to all maintained schools and Academies using the basic per-pupil entitlement factor?

Question

Do you agree that the funding previously held centrally or distributed to Academies through LACSEG for the following:

- (a) 14-16 practical learning**
- (b) Behaviour Support Services**
- (c) Staff costs supply cover: public service**
- (d) Staff costs supply cover: trade union**

should be delegated using the basic per-pupil entitlement factor or the low cost, high incidence SEN factor?

There are some services where maintained schools will be able to decide that some funding should be taken out of their pre-16 formula budgets before they receive them and moved to central funding. For each of these, it would be for the schools forum members in the relevant phase (primary or secondary) to decide whether any of the services should be retained centrally. The decision would apply to all maintained schools in that phase.

The services where optional de-delegation for maintained schools applies are:

- Contingencies;
- Staff costs – supply cover; and
- Behaviour support services.

Question

Do you support the de-delegation of maintained school funding for Behaviour Support Services in 2013-14 to support the transition from a Council delivered service and development of provision?

Question

Do you support the de-delegation of funding for any of the following:

- (a) Contingency
- (b) Staff costs – supply cover (Trade Union)
- (c) Staff costs – supply cover (General)

Reception Uplift

Under the new arrangements, schools and Academies will be funded based on data from the October pupil census rather than the current January pupil count. To prevent schools with lots of deferred entries to reception classes being disadvantaged, a reception uplift could reflect the difference in Reception pupil numbers only between the October and January counts. We believe that, since Slough primary schools operate a single point of entry, this is not necessary.

Question

Do you agree that no reception uplift is applied when calculating school budget shares for pupils joining school in January

Conclusion and Summary

The above proposals are compliant with the new arrangements which local authorities are required to implement from 1 April 2013.

Appendices

- A** Slough Schools: Adjusted Budget Shares 2012-13
- B** Slough Schools: Draft Budget Shares based on proposed formula
- C** Comparison Pre-Minimum Funding Guarantee
- D** Comparison Post-Minimum Funding Guarantee
- E** Historic Grants – Breakdown of 2012-13 Historic Grants Factor
- F** Historic Grants – Breakdown of Modelled Funding and Comparison to 2012-13

SLOUGH SCHOOLS FORUM
12 September 2012

School Funding Reform 2013-14

Proposed Arrangements – Notional Schools Block

(Director of Education & Children's Services)

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 To inform Schools Forum of the proposed arrangements for the notional Schools Block for the financial year 2013-14.

2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 That Schools Forum notes the notional Schools Block Analysis, endorses the draft consultation proposals for a simpler, compliant 5-16 funding formula for schools and endorses the associated arrangements for the notional Schools Block 2013-14.

3 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 Schools Forum has a key role in the implementation and approval of the reformed funding arrangements.

4 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

- 4.1 Not applicable.

5 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- 5.1 Members of Schools Forum will recall that in March 2012, the Department for Education (DfE) issued consultation on School Funding Reform: Next Steps towards a Fairer System and in June issued School Funding Reform: Final Arrangements 2013-14. The implementation of the new arrangements from 1 April 2013 will be challenging in view of the considerable changes required within tight timescales.

- 5.2 Each year, Schools Forum approves a statutory Schools Budget which allocates budgets between the ISB (individual school budgets), school specific contingency and local authority managed budgets. The Schools Budget is mainly funded through the Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG), supplemented by 6th form funding from the Education Funding Agency and a Slough contribution towards PFI costs. In future, the DSG will be split into three notional blocks: Schools, High Needs and Early Years.

- 5.3 This report focuses on the decisions to be made within the Notional Schools Block, including the requirement to implement a compliant 5-16 formula for funding Slough maintained primary and secondary schools and Academies. The notional Schools Block has been derived from an analysis of the 2012-13 statutory section 251 budget return as follows:

Gross DSG £128.235m

Notional High Needs Block £19.790m

Notional Early Years Block £8.699m

Notional Schools Block £99.746m.

Retained Budgets

- 5.4 The budgets for Admissions (£277,530), Servicing of Schools Forum (£53,055), Capital Expenditure Funded from Revenue (£149,100) and Contribution to Combined Budgets (£1,192,735) can continue to be centrally retained. No new commitments or increases in expenditure from 2012-13 budgets are allowed. Schools Forum approval is required to confirm these amounts.
- 5.5 The budget for 14-16 practical learning options (£339,000) must now be delegated to all maintained schools and Academies and cannot be de-delegated. Maintained schools and Academies could buy into services where relevant.
- 5.6 The budgets for contingencies (£122,000 after Growth Fund and reduction in final DSG), Staff costs – supply cover (£40,000) and Behaviour Support Services (£587,000 for primary and secondary schools and Academies) now also have to be allocated through the formula but can be de-delegated for maintained schools only. Following consultation on the issue of de-delegation, a final decision will be made by the relevant phase members of Schools Forum.
- 5.7 A budget for funding significant pre-16 pupil growth can be centrally retained before allocating formula with the agreement of Schools Forum. Slough proposes to hold a Growth Fund of £384,000, comprising former contingency funding for school places and significant September intake. Schools Forum approval is required to the specific criteria for allocations from the Growth Fund. The proposed criteria, relating to new forms of entry and bulge classes, will be subject to consultation before a final decision is made by Schools Forum.
- 5.8 Local authorities are responsible for approving and applying a formula, meeting statutory requirements, to distribute funding to schools. The reform arrangements require the creation of a new, simpler pre-16 funding formula.
- 5.9 The major changes are the reduction in allowable formula factors from 37 to 12 factors, the requirement to only use Department for Education (DfE) issued datasets and the move from a January to October pupil count for Schools Block funding and school budget share purposes.
- 5.10 The current primary and secondary formula factors have all been reviewed and assessed for compliance. In addition, a joint meeting of Slough Strategic Education Forum (SSEF) and Schools Forum members on 7 September provided officers with a policy steer. The general steer was that a great deal of work has

gone into developing the current Slough formula to target funding to meet local needs so that, as far as possible, mapping should distribute funding in line with the current formula. The DfE issued a modelling tool to support authorities and detailed work has taken place during the summer to develop a proposed formula for Slough.

- 5.11 The formula must include two mandatory factors, namely a basic per-pupil entitlement and a deprivation factor. In addition, there are a further ten optional factors that can be used depending on local need.
- 5.12 There is a process by which local authorities can request the inclusion of additional factors in their formula for exceptional circumstances. The DfE intend through regulation that the additional factors will only apply to cases where the nature of the school premises gives rise to significant additional cost greater than 1% of the school's total budget, and where such costs affect fewer than 5% of the schools in the authority. Slough does not believe that any such exceptional formula factors are required so does not intend to submit a case to the Education Funding Agency (EFA).
- 5.13 The DfE dataset includes Reception uplift data which will be applied to the overall funding distributed to Slough through the notional Schools Block DSG. The data is calculated using the net difference in numbers on roll in Reception between the October 2010 and January 2011 census. Local authorities will have the choice to either apply the uplift to all their schools or to none of their schools. Subject to consultation, it is not intended that a reception uplift is applied in distributing funding to schools.
- 5.14 The Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) will continue to be set at minus 1.5% per pupil in 2013-14 and 2014-15, albeit that the calculation will be substantially simplified. Factors will only be excluded from the MFG where not doing so would result in excessive protection or be inconsistent with other policies. The only factors which will be automatically excluded from the MFG are:
- Post 16 funding from the EFA;
 - Allocations from the High Needs Block, including those for named pupils with SEN and Resource Units above the sum delegated through the notional SEN budget;
 - The lump sum;
 - Rates; and
 - Early Years Single Funding Formula Allocation (separate protection).
- The proposed formula has been modelled using the MFG of -1.5% per pupil with the exceptions listed above. Exceptional requests to disapply the MFG can be made to the EFA but will only be considered where the inclusion of a factor in the MFG will lead to significant inappropriate levels of protection. Slough does not intend to submit a request to disapply the MFG for specific factors or schools.
- 5.15 Attached at Appendix A is a draft Consultation Document and supporting appendices. The document sets out the current and proposed arrangements, including the proposed 5-16 formula which takes into account the new statutory requirements. The effect for each school and recouplement Academy of moving from the existing formula to the new formula is also demonstrated.

- 5.16 Formal consultation will follow Schools Forum and is planned to run from Thursday, 13 September until Thursday, 11 October. In addition to sending out the consultation document to all schools and Academies, three consultation sessions are planned. All head teachers, Governors and Bursars are encouraged to attend.
- 5.17 A verbal update on any feedback from the consultation will be provided to members of Schools Forum on 3 October, before a final report regarding feedback and final proposals to Schools Forum on 24 October. Following Schools Forum on 24 October, CMT will formally approve the Slough 5-16 formula on behalf of elected members. The completed Slough proforma will then be submitted to the DfE on 31 October.

6 ADVICE RECEIVED FROM STATUTORY AND OTHER OFFICERS

Borough Solicitor

- 6.1 The relevant legal provisions are contained within the main body of this report.

Section 151 Officer – Strategic Director of Resources

- 6.2 The financial implications of the proposed changes will be managed within the Dedicated Schools Grant funding envelope. The specific financial implications for the local authority, maintained schools and Academies are being kept under review and will be reported to Schools Forum as appropriate.

Access Implications

- 6.3 There are no access implications.

7 CONSULTATION

Principal Groups Consulted

- 7.1 SSEF.

Method of Consultation

- 7.2 Joint meeting with members of Schools Forum.

Representations Received

- 7.3 Not applicable.

Background Papers

DfE School Funding Reform: Next steps towards a fairer system (March 2012)
DfE School Funding Reform: Arrangements for 2013-14 (June 2012)
Schools Forum papers – April to July 12 (including Schools Budget 2012-13;
School Funding Reform - various)

DfE 2013-14 Revenue Funding Arrangements: Operational Guidance for Local Authorities
DfE School Funding Reform: Financial Modelling Tool v3, including technical guidance

Contact for further information

Wendy Sagar, Interim Strategic Education Finance Partner (Education & Children's Services) (01753 875627) wendy.sagar@slough.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

Slough Schools: Adjusted Budget Shares 2012-13

Appendix A

DfE	Primary School	Type	AWPU	SEN - PWoS IMD	SEN PWoS - KS1	SEN - PWoS - KS2	SEN PWoS - IMD Banded	KS3 - Personalised Learning	Turbulence	Social Deprivation FSM	Social Deprivation IMD	Small School Protection	Partial Class Size	Catering	Rates
2012	Western House Primary	Pri	1,338,749	6,529	35,398				0	17,667	35,515	0	0	23,152	59,317
2195	Willow Primary	Pri	658,521	17,186	8,403				25,247	41,408	46,112	0	3,246	18,364	26,759
2196	Godolphin Infant	Pri	802,517	29,579	0				5,610	57,142	54,990	0	0	24,862	15,164
2216	Foxborough Primary	Pri	967,082	19,325	42,728				14,026	91,097	63,373	0	0	38,884	19,512
2221	Godolphin Junior	Pri	921,480	0	68,115				0	117,597	66,845	0	0	49,828	19,401
2244	Parlourt Park Primary	Pri	1,342,094	6,040	40,583				11,221	83,643	50,710	0	0	35,806	17,951
2252	Wexham Court Primary	Pri	1,354,816	26,611	50,416				8,416	77,846	78,882	0	0	33,412	36,580
2255	Penn Wood Primary	Pri	1,134,890	20,191	74,372				5,610	96,894	81,991	0	0	41,278	74,997
2256	Claycots Primary	Pri	1,687,632	37,105	72,048				11,221	135,817	134,514	0	0	57,352	56,937
2257	Montem Primary	Pri	1,772,387	38,716	113,525				28,052	148,239	146,994	0	0	62,482	47,807
2815	James Elliman Primary	Pri	1,646,356	26,611	91,714				14,026	120,910	125,911	0	0	51,196	37,017
3070	St. Mary's CE Primary	Pri	1,190,462	21,891	54,170				2,805	67,080	90,798	0	0	28,966	15,052
3077	Colnbrook CE Primary	Pri	452,834	8,258	32,180				0	39,751	29,117	19,762	9,738	17,680	10,481
3353	Our Lady of Peace RC Aided Infant	Pri	727,481	6,653	0				0	9,386	27,959	0	0	12,260	2,631
3357	Our Lady of Peace RC Aided Junior	Pri	924,083	0	39,332				0	6,349	34,467	0	0	7,866	2,698
3363	St. Ethelbert's RC Aided Primary	Pri	1,088,797	13,440	34,326				2,805	10,766	68,275	0	0	14,602	4,688
3364	St. Anthony's RC Aided Primary	Pri	1,086,292	17,741	72,048				0	11,594	75,102	0	0	15,628	4,014
3365	Marish Primary	Pri	1,593,357	13,380	69,188				30,857	117,597	86,732	0	0	49,828	38,801
3366	Khalsa Primary	Pri	1,029,181	4,488	28,247				0	6,073	55,493	0	3,246	8,788	15,686
3367	Iqra Slough Islamic Primary	Pri	1,640,955	26,421	56,673				14,026	154,864	115,100	0	0	65,218	5,664
5201	Priory Primary	Pri	1,914,400	8,139	53,276				14,026	24,292	74,248	0	0	31,360	6,958
5202	Holy Family RC Primary	Pri	1,133,048	4,411	28,426				0	4,141	50,082	0	0	6,394	3,345
5204	Castlevew Primary	Pri	1,310,122	5,916	21,275				2,805	4,693	29,323	0	0	7,078	4,816
5207	Pippins Primary	Pri	486,279	1,969	16,269				0	3,589	23,776	16,038	0	5,710	2,475
5209	Ryvers Primary	Pri	1,216,343	5,277	43,444				0	57,142	62,976	0	0	24,862	4,862
2194	Cippenham Infant	Aca	727,481	6,653	0				0	9,386	15,521	0	0	11,628	1,873
5200	Cippenham Primary	Aca	1,611,765	5,861	88,675				16,831	107,660	56,230	0	0	45,724	8,340
5208	Lynch Hill Primary	Aca	1,779,869	33,296	69,903				53,299	101,862	121,102	0	3,246	43,330	4,714
	Total Primary		33,539,273	411,687	1,304,734	0	0	0	260,883	1,724,485	1,902,138	35,800	19,476	833,538	548,540
DfE	Secondary School	Type	AWPU	SEN - PWoS IMD	SEN PWoS - KS1	SEN - PWoS - KS2	SEN PWoS - IMD Banded	KS3 - Personalised Learning	Turbulence	Social Deprivation FSM	Social Deprivation IMD	Small School Protection	Partial Class Size	Catering	Rates
4085	Beechwood	Sec	2,675,428	0	93,211		152,298	235,315	5,610	92,151	183,675	0	0	65,147	204,513
4089	Wexham	Sec	2,786,998	0	160,584		127,194	182,477	2,805	83,924	182,430	0	0	59,447	62,885
4700	St. Bernard's Catholic Grammar	Sec	2,312,036	0	0		0	0	2,805	914	55,442	0	0	3,207	16,858
4800	St. Joseph's RC High	Sec	2,348,533	0	87,899		106,776	123,288	0	12,433	136,525	21,014	0	27,147	6,690
4082	Baylis Court	Aca	2,864,801	0	97,558		130,206	184,498	2,805	87,763	190,369	0	0	62,107	44,153
4510	Slough and Eton CE	Aca	3,083,057	0	189,803		175,100	207,019	25,247	144,261	224,861	0	0	101,247	10,615
5405	Langley Grammar	Aca	2,770,741	0	0		0	0	0	3,108	87,789	0	0	7,767	17,840
5407	Herschel Grammar	Aca	2,286,837	0	3,381		0	866	0	3,840	103,771	0	0	9,287	11,239
5408	Slough Grammar	Aca	2,920,962	0	241		0	1,732	2,805	6,582	117,279	0	0	14,987	8,726
5409	Westgate	Aca	3,062,190	0	113,254		115,704	127,619	0	81,729	108,330	0	0	57,927	26,759
6905	Langley Academy	Aca	3,283,753	0	98,524		123,298	216,548	14,026	79,535	153,284	0	0	56,407	14,998
	Total Primary		30,395,336	0	844,455	930,576	1,279,362	1,279,362	56,103	596,240	1,543,755	21,014	0	464,677	425,276
	Total														
	Primary	Pri	33,539,273	411,687	1,304,734	0	0	0	260,883	1,724,485	1,902,138	35,800	19,476	833,538	548,540
	Secondary	Sec	30,395,336	0	844,455	930,576	1,279,362	1,279,362	56,103	596,240	1,543,755	21,014	0	464,677	425,276
	Total		63,934,609	411,687	1,304,734	930,576	1,279,362	1,279,362	316,986	2,320,725	3,445,893	56,814	19,476	1,298,215	973,816

Slough Schools: Adjusted Budget Shares 2012-13

Appendix A

New Schools/ FEs	School Expansion	Lump Sum	Teachers Pay	PFI	Mainstreamed Grants	Formula Funding	MFG Funding	Final Formula Allocation
0	11,408	55,176	25,594	0	173,220	1,781,725	0	1,781,725
17,113	15,602	55,176	4,504	0	126,483	1,064,124	0	1,064,124
0	8,480	55,176	15,752	0	203,710	1,272,982	0	1,272,982
0	0	55,176	38,248	0	242,651	1,592,102	56,645	1,648,747
0	0	55,176	35,225	0	277,334	1,611,001	0	1,611,001
0	9,317	55,176	27,246	0	176,142	1,855,929	0	1,855,929
0	11,313	55,176	18,564	0	190,823	1,942,855	0	1,942,855
0	32,037	55,176	40,357	267,949.00	316,439	2,242,181	0	2,242,181
141,100	19,204	87,362	43,169	0	316,627	2,800,088	0	2,800,088
0	16,765	55,176	36,139	0	471,626	2,937,908	0	2,937,908
0	0	55,176	41,763	0	317,562	2,528,242	0	2,528,242
0	3,000	55,176	37,897	0	229,658	1,796,955	0	1,796,955
0	0	55,176	20,638	0	166,484	862,099	0	862,099
0	0	55,176	11,534	0	91,789	944,869	0	944,869
0	0	55,176	29,285	0	138,013	1,237,269	0	1,237,269
0	0	55,176	26,297	0	216,325	1,535,497	0	1,535,497
0	0	55,176	27,703	0	252,531	1,617,829	0	1,617,829
0	0	55,176	35,436	0	247,724	2,338,076	0	2,338,076
0	0	55,176	8,019	0	330,845	1,545,242	0	1,545,242
0	0	55,176	10,831	0	367,837	2,512,765	0	2,512,765
0	11,218	55,176	53,714	0	265,109	2,511,916	0	2,511,916
0	0	55,176	20,673	0	117,044	1,422,740	0	1,422,740
0	12,834	55,176	25,594	0	140,917	1,620,549	0	1,620,549
0	0	55,176	12,940	0	92,646	716,867	0	716,867
0	16,257	55,176	26,297	0	195,413	1,708,049	0	1,708,049
0	0	55,176	16,104	0	85,614	929,436	0	929,436
0	4,373	55,176	18,564	0	224,853	2,244,052	0	2,244,052
0	0	55,176	22,079	0	336,013	2,623,889	0	2,623,889
158,213	171,808	1,577,114	730,166	267,949	6,311,432	49,797,236	56,645	49,853,881
New Schools/ FEs	School Expansion	Lump Sum	Teachers Pay	PFI	Mainstreamed Grants	Formula Funding	MFG Funding	Final Formula Allocation
0	0	54,996	119,690	518,409	811,748	5,212,191	89,531	5,301,722
0	0	54,996	137,867	0	851,561	4,693,168	0	4,693,168
0	0	54,996	160,016	0	412,317	3,018,591	0	3,018,591
0	0	54,996	102,495	0	602,831	3,630,627	0	3,630,627
0	0	54,996	127,059	0	910,043	4,756,358	0	4,756,358
0	0	54,996	121,737	0	1,133,754	5,471,697	0	5,471,697
0	0	54,996	169,882	0	477,575	3,589,698	0	3,589,698
0	0	54,996	143,435	0	586,261	3,203,913	0	3,203,913
0	0	54,996	137,294	0	546,482	3,812,086	78,473	3,890,559
0	5,799	54,996	79,159	0	790,507	4,623,973	0	4,623,973
0	0	54,996	4,239	0	926,452	5,026,060	0	5,026,060
0	5,799	604,956	1,302,873	518,409	8,049,531	47,038,362	168,004	47,206,366
158,213	171,808	1,577,114	730,166	267,949	6,311,432	49,797,236	56,645	49,853,881
0	5,799	604,956	1,302,873	518,409	8,049,531	47,038,362	168,004	47,206,366
158,213	177,607	2,182,070	2,033,039	786,358	14,360,963	96,835,598	224,649	97,060,247

Model School Budget Shares 2013-14

Appendix B

School name	AWPU (Primary)	AWPU (KS3)	AWPU (KS4)	Free School Meals (P)	Free School Meals (S)	IDACI (P1)	IDACI (P2)	IDACI (P3)	IDACI (P4)	IDACI (P5)	IDACI (P6)	IDACI (S1)	IDACI (S2)	IDACI (S3)
Western House Primary School	1,509,119	-	-	140,440	-	-	-	17,784	11,400	2,134	-	-	-	-
Cippenham Infant School	795,986	-	-	39,535	-	-	-	1,440	1,200	-	-	-	-	-
Willow Primary School	701,296	-	-	87,060	-	-	-	46,892	3,618	2,709	2,258	-	-	-
Godolphin Infant School	872,922	-	-	118,547	-	-	-	25,888	2,271	2,834	-	-	-	-
Foxborough Primary School	1,100,769	-	-	217,409	-	-	-	11,682	64,589	3,505	1,752	-	-	-
The Godolphin Junior School	1,056,383	-	-	261,354	-	-	-	40,125	6,063	4,127	-	-	-	-
Parlaunt Park Primary School	1,429,224	-	-	139,357	-	-	-	42,889	24,475	727	-	-	-	-
Wexham Court Primary School	1,509,119	-	-	152,975	-	-	-	66,530	5,162	4,295	-	-	-	-
Penn Wood Primary and Nursery School	1,272,394	-	-	223,795	-	-	-	61,080	13,123	3,722	-	-	-	-
Claycoats Primary	1,896,755	-	-	304,243	-	-	-	78,310	51,520	39,292	-	-	-	-
Montem Primary School	1,840,533	-	-	310,328	-	-	-	68,462	120,399	61,871	-	-	-	-
James Elliman School	1,810,942	-	-	260,354	-	-	-	50,428	69,282	1,417	-	-	-	-
St. Mary's C E Primary School	1,304,944	-	-	161,008	-	-	-	70,116	10,956	8,635	-	-	-	-
Colnbrook C.E. Primary School	523,753	-	-	94,896	-	-	-	5,008	28,452	-	-	-	-	-
Our Lady of Peace Infant School	798,945	-	-	46,524	-	-	-	6,746	6,023	5,262	-	-	-	-
Our Lady of Peace Junior	1,044,547	-	-	69,600	-	-	-	10,143	5,512	6,191	-	-	-	-
St. Ethelbert's Catholic Primary School	1,225,049	-	-	79,960	-	-	-	49,034	16,039	5,004	-	-	-	-
St Anthonys Catholic Primary	1,222,090	-	-	87,262	-	-	-	37,392	15,960	17,073	-	-	-	-
Marish Primary School	1,725,130	-	-	282,031	-	-	-	22,669	23,710	7,217	-	-	-	-
Khalisa Primary School	1,151,073	-	-	75,182	-	-	-	33,474	13,571	2,117	1,764	-	-	-
IQRA Slough Islamic Primary School	1,828,697	-	-	273,588	-	-	-	142,779	11,627	726	907	-	-	-
Cippenham Primary School	1,796,147	-	-	201,399	-	-	-	31,062	17,701	6,413	2,672	-	-	-
Priory School	2,124,602	-	-	172,142	-	-	-	22,291	14,512	7,245	1,811	-	-	-
Holy Family Catholic School	1,251,681	-	-	30,960	-	-	-	16,569	19,032	-	-	-	-	-
Castleview School	1,455,856	-	-	45,568	-	-	-	1,854	2,898	723	-	-	-	-
Pippins School	529,671	-	-	30,287	-	-	-	900	12,369	-	-	-	-	-
Lynch Hill School	1,952,977	-	-	228,554	-	-	-	47,638	30,346	22,152	-	-	-	-
Ryvers School	1,355,248	-	-	134,603	-	-	-	57,412	5,741	1,433	-	-	-	-
Total Primary Schools	37,085,851	-	-	4,268,960	-	-	-	1,066,598	607,551	216,825	11,165	-	-	-
Baylis Court School	-	1,761,987	1,368,976	-	772,711	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	114,198
Beechwood School	-	1,664,308	1,329,613	-	717,485	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	79,084
Wexham School	-	1,758,231	1,290,249	-	798,286	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	162,650
Slough & Eton C of E Business & Enterprise College	-	1,867,181	1,447,704	-	995,095	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	116,205
St Bernard's Catholic Grammar School	-	1,378,783	1,128,422	-	35,055	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45,786
St Joseph's Catholic High School	-	1,435,137	1,115,300	-	251,541	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	72,340
LANGLEY GRAMMAR SCHOOL	-	1,709,391	1,303,371	-	94,235	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66,066
Herschel Grammar School	-	1,337,457	1,159,038	-	120,159	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55,991
Slough Grammar School	-	1,754,474	1,399,593	-	221,944	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	82,140
THE WESTGATE SCHOOL	-	2,024,971	1,338,360	-	658,066	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53,731
The Langley Academy	-	2,009,943	1,557,047	-	752,297	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	69,515
Total Secondary Schools	-	18,701,863	14,437,672	-	5,416,873	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	917,707
Primary Schools	37,085,851	-	-	4,268,960	-	-	-	1,066,598	607,551	216,825	11,165	-	-	-
Secondary Schools	-	18,701,863	14,437,672	-	5,416,873	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	917,707
Factor Totals	37,085,851	18,701,863	14,437,672	4,268,960	5,416,873	-	-	1,066,598	607,551	216,825	11,165	-	-	917,707

Model School Budget Shares 2013-14

IDACI (S4)	IDACI (S5)	IDACI (S6)	Low Attainment		Low Attainment (S)	EAL (P)	EAL (S)	Mobility		Mobility (S)	Lump Sum	Split Sites		PFI	13-14 MFG Adjustment	Revised Budget
			Attainment (P)	LAC				(P)	(S)			Sum	Sites			
-	-	-	-	162,843	-	-	-	5,784	-	55,000	-	-	-	(56,989)	1,906,833	
-	-	-	-	46,778	-	-	-	1,432	-	55,000	-	-	-	-	943,244	
-	-	-	-	52,203	-	-	-	14,802	-	55,000	-	-	-	76,187	1,068,784	
-	-	-	-	125,733	-	-	-	4,128	-	55,000	-	-	-	56,595	1,279,082	
-	-	-	-	193,514	-	-	-	10,720	-	55,000	-	-	-	11,205	1,689,657	
-	-	-	-	72,187	-	-	-	3,430	-	55,000	-	-	-	99,098	1,617,168	
-	-	-	-	173,377	-	-	-	12,437	-	55,000	-	-	-	-	1,895,437	
-	-	-	-	93,551	-	-	-	8,330	-	55,000	-	-	-	25,283	1,956,823	
-	-	-	-	267,053	-	-	-	11,875	-	55,000	-	267,949	-	-	2,250,987	
-	-	-	-	193,668	-	-	-	14,012	-	55,000	-	-	-	122,487	2,812,224	
-	-	-	-	450,678	-	-	-	20,197	-	55,000	-	-	-	-	2,975,275	
-	-	-	-	192,797	-	-	-	14,047	-	55,000	-	-	-	50,499	2,541,783	
-	-	-	-	238,408	-	-	-	10,587	-	55,000	-	-	-	-	1,874,705	
-	-	-	-	71,429	-	-	-	7,594	-	55,000	-	-	-	125,089	921,702	
-	-	-	-	32,972	-	-	-	857	-	55,000	-	-	-	-	954,960	
-	-	-	-	141,115	-	-	-	2,668	-	55,000	-	-	-	(12,338)	1,325,136	
-	-	-	-	141,997	-	-	-	7,577	-	55,000	-	-	-	13,770	1,598,119	
-	-	-	-	221,793	-	-	-	5,573	-	55,000	-	-	-	-	1,666,158	
-	-	-	-	185,795	-	-	-	18,032	-	55,000	-	-	-	-	2,358,384	
-	-	-	-	64,424	-	-	-	9,209	-	55,000	-	-	-	133,459	1,554,959	
-	-	-	-	131,491	-	-	-	12,887	-	55,000	-	-	-	63,194	2,526,559	
-	-	-	-	184,436	-	-	-	20,299	-	55,000	-	-	-	-	2,323,470	
-	-	-	-	134,483	-	-	-	13,521	-	55,000	-	-	-	142,719	2,695,285	
-	-	-	-	65,431	-	-	-	2,442	-	55,000	-	-	-	-	1,444,460	
-	-	-	-	30,411	-	-	-	5,131	-	55,000	-	-	-	35,146	1,637,402	
-	-	-	-	51,879	-	-	-	2,006	-	55,000	-	-	-	37,037	721,625	
-	-	-	-	208,064	-	-	-	15,836	-	55,000	-	-	-	74,158	2,639,439	
-	-	-	-	104,185	-	-	-	5,469	-	55,000	-	-	-	25,716	1,749,670	
-	-	-	-	4,032,696	-	-	-	260,883	-	1,540,000	-	267,949	-	1,022,315	50,929,333	
36,532	10,976	-	-	-	605,423	-	-	-	5,916	55,000	-	-	-	-	4,775,873	
44,384	33,570	-	-	-	593,665	-	-	-	7,251	55,000	-	-	-	-	5,287,222	
32,315	840	1,050	-	-	848,931	-	-	-	4,771	55,000	-	518,409	-	39,940	5,015,207	
96,136	55,172	-	-	-	1,032,182	-	-	-	15,457	55,000	-	-	-	-	5,690,747	
17,928	861	2,151	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,908	55,000	-	-	-	342,786	3,025,539	
39,139	10,106	-	-	-	418,586	-	-	-	5,343	55,000	-	-	-	220,041	3,629,224	
22,523	9,369	7,453	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,145	55,000	-	-	-	312,177	3,598,569	
32,616	15,264	2,120	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,336	55,000	-	-	-	417,449	3,207,669	
43,518	20,366	7,425	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,244	55,000	-	-	-	301,133	3,897,563	
37,612	5,029	-	-	-	640,631	-	-	-	4,580	55,000	-	-	-	-	4,844,739	
39,069	2,522	-	-	-	579,332	-	-	-	5,152	55,000	-	-	-	-	5,084,875	
441,771	164,077	20,200	-	-	4,718,750	-	-	-	56,103	605,000	-	518,409	-	1,633,526	48,057,227	
-	-	-	-	4,032,696	-	-	-	260,883	-	1,540,000	-	267,949	-	1,022,315	50,929,333	
441,771	164,077	20,200	-	-	4,718,750	-	-	-	56,103	605,000	-	518,409	-	1,633,526	48,057,227	
441,771	164,077	20,200	-	4,032,696	4,718,750	-	-	260,883	56,103	2,145,000	-	786,358	-	2,655,842	98,986,561	

2012-13 Adjusted Funding *	Modelled Funding	Difference	Increase	Decrease
1,781,725	1,963,821	182,096	10.22%	
929,436	943,244	13,808	1.49%	
1,064,124	992,597	(71,527)		-6.72%
1,272,982	1,222,487	(50,495)		-3.97%
1,648,747	1,678,452	29,705	1.80%	
1,611,001	1,518,070	(92,931)		-5.77%
1,855,929	1,895,437	39,508	2.13%	
1,942,855	1,931,540	(11,315)		-0.58%
2,242,181	2,250,987	8,806	0.39%	
2,800,088	2,689,737	(110,351)		-3.94%
2,937,908	2,975,275	37,367	1.27%	
2,528,242	2,491,285	(36,957)		-1.46%
1,796,955	1,874,705	77,750	4.33%	
862,099	796,613	(65,486)		-7.60%
944,869	954,960	10,091	1.07%	
1,237,269	1,337,474	100,205	8.10%	
1,535,497	1,584,349	48,852	3.18%	
1,617,829	1,666,158	48,329	2.99%	
2,338,076	2,358,384	20,308	0.87%	
1,545,242	1,421,499	(123,743)		-8.01%
2,512,765	2,463,365	(49,400)		-1.97%
5,301,722	5,247,282	(54,440)		-1.03%
4,693,168	5,015,207	322,039	6.86%	
3,018,591	2,682,752	(335,839)		-11.13%
3,630,627	3,409,183	(221,444)		-6.10%
2,244,052	2,323,470	79,418	3.54%	
2,511,916	2,552,566	40,650	1.62%	
1,422,740	1,444,460	21,720	1.53%	
1,620,549	1,602,256	(18,293)		-1.13%
716,867	684,588	(32,279)		-4.50%
1,708,049	1,723,954	15,905	0.93%	
4,623,973	4,844,739	220,766	0.93%	
4,756,358	4,775,873	19,515	0.93%	
5,471,697	5,690,747	219,050	0.93%	
2,623,889	2,565,281	(58,608)		-2.23%
3,589,698	3,286,392	(303,306)		-8.45%
3,203,913	2,790,221	(413,692)		-12.91%
3,890,559	3,596,430	(294,129)		-7.56%
5,026,060	5,084,875	58,815	1.17%	
97,060,247	96,330,719	(729,528)		
Primary 49,853,881	49,907,018	53,137		
Secondary 47,206,366	46,423,701	(782,665)		
Total 97,060,247	96,330,719	(729,528)		

This shows the underlying change to budgets before the operation of the MFG

*Adjusted to remove Pupils with Statements, Resource Bases & EYSFF

Comparison of 2013-13 Funding and Modelled Funding

Post MFG
Comparison of 2012-13 Funding and Modelled Funding

	2012-13 Adjusted Funding *	Modelled Funding	Difference	Increase Decrease
Western House Primary School	1,781,725	1,906,833	125,108	7.02%
Cippenham Infant School	929,436	943,244	13,808	1.49%
Willow Primary School	1,064,124	1,068,784	4,660	0.44%
Godolphin Infant School	1,272,982	1,279,082	6,100	0.48%
Foxborough Primary School	1,648,747	1,689,657	40,910	2.48%
The Godolphin Junior School	1,611,001	1,617,168	6,167	0.38%
Parlaunt Park Primary School	1,855,929	1,895,437	39,508	2.13%
Wexham Court Primary School	1,942,855	1,956,823	13,968	0.72%
Penn Wood Primary and Nursery School	2,242,181	2,250,987	8,806	0.39%
Claycots Primary	2,800,088	2,812,224	12,136	0.43%
Montem Primary School	2,937,908	2,975,275	37,367	1.27%
James Elliman School	2,528,242	2,541,783	13,541	0.54%
St. Mary's C E Primary School	1,796,955	1,874,705	77,750	4.33%
Colnbrook C.E. Primary School	862,099	921,702	59,603	6.91%
Our Lady of Peace R C Infant	944,869	954,960	10,091	1.07%
Our Lady of Peace Junior	1,237,269	1,325,136	87,867	7.10%
St. Ethelbert's Catholic Primary School	1,535,497	1,598,119	62,622	4.08%
St Anthony's Catholic Primary School	1,617,829	1,666,158	48,329	2.99%
Marish Primary School	2,338,076	2,358,384	20,308	0.87%
Khalsa Primary School	1,545,242	1,554,959	9,717	0.63%
IQRA Slough Islamic Primary School	2,512,765	2,526,559	13,794	0.55%
Beechwood School	5,301,722	5,287,222	(14,500)	-0.27%
Wexham School	4,693,168	5,015,207	322,039	6.86%
St Bernard's Catholic Grammar School	3,018,591	3,025,539	6,948	0.23%
St Joseph's Catholic High School	3,630,627	3,629,224	(1,403)	-0.04%
Cippenham Primary School	2,244,052	2,323,470	79,418	3.54%
Priory School	2,511,916	2,695,285	183,369	7.30%
Holy Family Catholic School	1,422,740	1,444,460	21,720	1.53%
Castleview School	1,620,549	1,637,402	16,853	1.04%
Pippins School	716,867	721,625	4,758	0.66%
Ryvers School	1,708,049	1,749,670	41,621	2.44%
THE WESTGATE SCHOOL	4,623,973	4,844,739	220,766	4.77%
BAYLIS COURT SCHOOL	4,756,358	4,775,873	19,515	0.41%
Slough & Eton C of E Business & Enterprise College	5,471,697	5,690,747	219,050	4.00%
Lynch Hill School	2,623,889	2,639,439	15,550	0.59%
LANGLEY GRAMMAR SCHOOL	3,589,698	3,598,569	8,871	0.25%
Herschel Grammar School	3,203,913	3,207,669	3,756	0.12%
Slough Grammar School	3,890,559	3,897,563	7,004	0.18%
The Langley Academy	5,026,060	5,084,875	58,815	1.17%
	97,060,247	98,986,561	1,926,314	
	Primary 49,853,881	50,929,333	1,075,452	
	Secondary 47,206,366	48,057,227	850,861	
	Total 97,060,247	98,986,561	1,926,314	

*Adjusted to remove Pupils with Statements, Resource Bases and Early Years Funding

Breakdown of 2012-13 Historical Grants Factor based on 2010-11 Allocations

Mapped to in Model	Basic School Standards Grant		Low Attainment School Standards Grant (Personalisation)		Deprivation School Development Grant		Basic Entitlement Advanced Skills Teachers		Basic Entitlement School Lunch		Low Attainment EMAG		Low Attainment 121 Tuition		Basic Entitlement Extended Schools Subsidy		Basic Entitlement Primary Strategy		Basic Entitlement Secondary Strategy		Total 12-13 Allocation		2012-13 Pupils		Amount Per Pupil		Compared to Average for Sector	
	Historical Grant	Standards Grant	Standards Grant	Grant	Grant	Grant	Grant	Teachers	School Lunch	EMAG	121 Tuition	Schools Subsidy	Primary Strategy	Secondary Strategy	Total Allocation	Pupils	Amount	Per Pupil	Primary Strategy	Secondary Strategy	Total Allocation	Pupils	Amount	Per Pupil	Average for Sector	Compared to		
Western House Primary	75,192		9,397		49,624		4,221	12,001	3,176	6,786	12,822	-	173,221	482	359.38	-31.10%												
Cippenham Infant	45,533		3,667		15,519		2,133	7,137	-	2,036	9,589	-	85,614	270	317.09	-39.21%												
Willow Primary									126,483				126,483	149	848.88	62.75%												
Godolphin Infant	50,741		9,330		82,418		3,431	27,135	-	10,691	19,965	-	203,710	268	760.11	45.73%												
Foxborough Primary	55,900		16,985		47,232		4,192	29,322	27,416	13,057	48,547	-	242,651	391	620.59	18.98%												
Godolphin Junior	55,440		20,349		105,899		4,692	33,274	28,500	15,058	14,121	-	277,334	357	776.85	48.94%												
Parlaunt Park Primary	73,687		16,715		32,735		4,113	16,589	12,931	6,534	12,838	-	176,142	479	367.73	-29.50%												
Wexham Court Primary	70,539		11,237		29,000		4,258	24,461	4,702	11,750	34,875	-	190,823	479	398.38	-23.62%												
Penn Wood	58,786		26,477		88,758	15,707	4,716	64,842	5,890	16,048	35,215	-	316,439	397	797.08	52.82%												
Claycots	82,532		27,866		122,991		6,289	48,195	-	21,253	7,501	-	316,627	591	535.75	2.72%												
Montem	91,881		34,285		133,916		7,304	111,734	29,716	21,748	41,042	-	471,626	650	725.58	39.11%												
James Elliman Primary	80,764		27,042		86,382		5,968	69,077	15,297	19,196	13,835	-	317,562	624	508.91	-2.43%												
St.Mary's CE Primary	63,991		16,281		36,914		4,268	49,214	18,454	13,345	27,191	-	229,658	446	514.93	-1.28%												
Colnbrook CE Primary	43,497		13,598		47,541		1,586	12,290	9,284	4,690	34,000	-	166,484	171	973.59	86.66%												
Our Lady of Peace Infant	40,566		4,532		17,831		1,895	7,734	-	3,471	15,760	-	91,789	270	339.96	-34.82%												
Our Lady of Peace Junior	56,177		9,661		21,219		2,669	8,844	20,044	3,952	15,447	-	138,013	347	397.73	-23.75%												
St.Ethelberts RC	59,579		13,152		78,615		2,950	36,726	6,891	7,283	11,129	-	216,325	418	517.52	-0.78%												
St.Anthony's RC	59,279		25,305		82,971		3,317	46,891	10,013	9,712	15,043	-	252,531	408	618.95	18.67%												
Marish	80,365		21,759		38,948		5,974	31,356	7,971	15,967	45,384	-	247,724	594	417.04	-20.04%												
Khalisa Primary	68,536		15,481		177,686		3,013	17,748	33,530	7,530	7,321	-	330,845	354	934.59	79.18%												
Slough Islamic School	99,405		25,456		129,567		6,700	46,072	13,677	19,409	27,551	-	367,837	612	601.04	15.23%												
Cippenham Primary	92,103		14,480		47,687		5,576	15,378	21,504	8,989	19,135	-	224,852	565	397.97	-23.70%												
Priory	96,286		17,283		31,740	26,276	5,853	12,352	28,317	11,251	35,752	-	265,109	702.5	377.38	-27.65%												
Holy Family Primary	60,503		6,795		16,612		2,881	7,376	1,436	4,699	16,740	-	117,044	430	272.20	-47.81%												
Castleview	69,995		4,733		21,284		3,344	7,352	7,028	3,187	23,994	-	140,917	458	307.68	-41.01%												
The Pippins	32,309		4,147		29,884		1,225	6,413	5,691	1,860	11,116	-	92,646	186	498.10	-4.50%												
Ryvers Primary	64,816		11,140		32,856		3,950	23,254	12,538	8,952	37,906	-	195,413	433	451.30	-13.48%												
Maintained Primary	1,728,405		407,151		1,605,831		106,519	772,770	450,489	268,454	593,818	-	5,975,419	11,532														
Beechwood	173,054		130,893		422,568		8,303	27,830	-	25,139	-	-	23,961	843	962.93	21.48%												
Wexham Secondary	145,847		116,054		476,314		10,107	47,259	-	29,717	-	-	26,264	928	917.63	15.76%												
St.Bernards RC Convent	159,264		9,065		208,817		5,633	-	16,218	3,684	-	-	9,634	841	490.27	-38.15%												
St.Josephs RC	136,964		63,683		336,001		5,115	17,122	17,437	10,416	-	-	16,093	683	882.62	11.35%												
Maintained Secondary	615,129		319,695		1,443,699		29,158	92,212	33,656	68,956	-	-	75,952	3,295														
Lynch Hill	92,445		25,099		116,608		6,084	29,556	4,876	18,986	26,402	-	336,014	569	590.53	13.22%												
Academy Primary	92,445		25,099		116,608		6,084	29,556	4,876	18,986	26,402	-	336,014	569														
Baylis Court	170,949		115,129		497,609		9,338	42,938	34,324	27,436	-	-	12,321	866	1,050.86	32.57%												
Slough & Eton	192,846		170,205		535,076		11,642	92,412	59,943	37,843	-	-	33,786	948	1,195.94	50.88%												
Langley Grammar	158,954		13,303		260,233		6,984	-	-	8,256	-	-	11,021	1,045	457.01	-42.35%												
Herschel Grammar	182,439		11,229		337,829		6,132	-	3,871	10,112	-	-	9,873	879	666.96	-15.86%												
Slough Grammar	171,040		16,239		318,727		7,682	-	8,984	12,721	-	-	11,091	1,106	494.11	-37.67%												
Westgate	163,041		94,059		435,982		8,450	19,161	27,140	14,508	-	-	19,652	916	863.00	8.87%												
Langley Academy	170,027		112,131		536,943		13,880	30,275	27,299	23,436	-	-	12,462	1,100	842.23	6.25%												
Academy Secondary	1,209,296		532,295		2,922,398		64,108	184,786	161,560	134,312	-	-	110,206	6,860														

Breakdown of Modelled Funding and Comparison to 2012-13 Appendix F

School	2012-13 Historical Grants Allocation	2013-14 Model			Total	Diff
		Basic Entitlement	Deprivation	Low Attainment		
Western House Primary	173,221	120,377	57,347	65,224	242,947	69,727
Cippenham Infant	85,614	63,493	16,144	18,736	98,372	12,758
Willow Primary	126,483	55,940	35,550	20,909	112,399	(14,084)
Godolphin Infant	203,710	69,630	48,407	50,360	168,397	(35,313)
Foxborough Primary	242,651	87,804	88,776	77,509	254,089	11,438
Godolphin Junior	277,334	84,264	106,720	28,913	219,897	(57,437)
Parlaunt Park Primary	176,142	114,004	56,904	69,443	240,352	64,209
Wexham Court Primary	190,823	120,377	62,465	37,470	220,312	29,489
Penn Wood	316,439	101,494	91,383	106,964	299,842	(16,598)
Claycots	316,627	151,297	124,233	77,571	353,101	36,474
Montem	471,626	146,812	126,718	180,512	454,043	(17,583)
James Elliman Primary	317,562	144,452	106,312	77,222	327,986	10,424
St.Mary's CE Primary	229,658	104,090	65,745	95,490	265,326	35,668
Colnbrook CE Primary	166,484	41,778	38,749	28,610	109,137	(57,347)
Our Lady of Peace Infant	91,789	63,729	18,997	13,206	95,932	4,144
Our Lady of Peace Junior	138,013	83,320	28,420	56,521	168,261	30,248
St.Ethelberts RC	216,325	97,718	32,650	56,875	187,243	(29,082)
St.Anthony's RC	252,531	97,482	35,632	88,836	221,950	(30,582)
Marish	247,724	137,607	115,163	74,417	327,188	79,464
Khalsa Primary	330,845	91,817	30,699	25,804	148,320	(182,525)
Slough Islamic School	367,837	145,868	111,716	52,667	310,251	(57,586)
Cippenham Primary	224,852	143,272	82,238	73,873	299,383	74,531
Priory	265,109	169,472	70,292	53,865	293,628	28,519
Holy Family Primary	117,044	99,842	12,642	26,207	138,691	21,647
Castleview	140,917	116,128	18,607	12,181	146,916	5,998
The Pippins	92,646	42,250	12,367	20,779	75,397	(17,249)
Ryvers Primary	195,413	108,103	54,963	41,730	204,796	9,383
Maintained Primary	5,975,419	2,802,417	1,649,842	1,531,897	5,984,155	8,736
Beechwood	811,748	213,878	578,867	164,009	956,753	145,005
Wexham Secondary	851,561	218,459	644,057	234,530	1,097,045	245,484
St.Bernards RC Convent	412,317	178,947	28,282	-	207,229	(205,088)
St.Josephs RC	602,831	182,383	202,943	115,641	500,967	(101,864)
Maintained Secondary	2,678,457	793,666	1,454,149	514,180	2,761,995	83,538
Lynch Hill	336,014	155,782	93,327	83,337	332,445	(3,568)
Academy Primary	336,013	155,782	93,327	83,337	332,445	(3,568)
Baylis Court	910,044	223,899	623,423	167,257	1,014,579	104,536
Slough & Eton	1,133,754	237,069	802,842	285,156	1,325,068	191,314
Langley Grammar	477,575	215,595	76,029	-	291,624	(185,951)
Herschel Grammar	586,261	177,802	96,944	-	274,746	(311,515)
Slough Grammar	546,482	225,330	179,064	-	404,394	(142,088)
Westgate	790,507	241,936	530,927	176,984	949,848	159,341
Langley Academy	926,452	255,107	606,953	160,049	1,022,110	95,658
Academy Secondary	5,371,074	1,576,738	2,916,184	789,447	5,282,369	(88,706)
Primary	6,311,432	2,958,198	1,743,169	1,615,234	6,316,601	5,168
Secondary	8,049,531	2,370,404	4,370,333	1,303,627	8,044,364	(5,168)
Maintained Schools	14,360,963	5,328,603	6,113,501	2,918,861	14,360,965	(0)

This page is intentionally left blank

Slough Borough Council

5-16 Funding Formula for Schools

Consultation Response Form

To support Governors, Head teachers and Bursars to respond to the consultation, three sessions will be held where officers will explain the proposals and answer questions:

Tuesday, 25 September at noon

Tuesday, 25 September at 6pm

Wednesday, 3 October at noon

**The closing date for this consultation is: 11 October 2012
Your comments must reach us by that date.**

Name:

Role:

Organisation:

Address:

Under the new arrangements, schools and Academies will be funded based on data from the October pupil census rather than the current January pupil count . To prevent schools with lots of deferred entries to reception classes being disadvantaged, a reception uplift could reflect the difference in Reception pupil numbers only between the October and January counts. We believe that, since Slough primary schools operate a single point of entry, this is not necessary.

- 1. Do you agree that no reception uplift is applied when calculating school budget shares for pupils joining school in January**

Yes

No

Not Sure

Comments:

The Slough formula does not currently target resources towards children for whom English is an additional language (EAL). However, pupils with EAL often require additional support. The DfE have considered evidence on how much support is needed and have decided that 3 years – from the point at which the pupil enters compulsory education in England – should be sufficient. This factor would not target 2nd or 3rd generation pupils as it can only be applied based on data sets issued by the DfE.

- 2. Do you agree that we should include English as an Additional Language as a factor in the formula?**

Yes

No

Not Sure

Comments:

With early intervention, pupils with EAL can achieve well, even earlier. However the cost of supporting pupils who start school in England at an older age can be considerably higher. We are able to allocate one rate

for EAL pupils in primary schools and a different rate for EAL pupils in secondary schools.

3. If you answered yes to question 2, do you agree that we should have different rates for EAL in primary and secondary schools?

Yes

No

Not Sure

Comments:

Schools that operate on split sites often face higher running costs as a result of, for example, the cost of travel between sites and the care and maintenance of two sites. The current Slough formula does not make any provision for the additional costs incurred by schools operating from split sites. **Need to confirm whether we have 2 schools or a split site at Claycotts**

4. Do you agree that we should not include a split site factor in the formula?

Yes

No

Not Sure

Comments:

The new arrangements restrict the number of allowable factors in the local 5-16 formula. Most of the current factors in the Slough formula have been mapped to compliant factors. However, there are five factors which cannot be included in the formula. The compliant factors which could be used to distribute this funding are: basic pupil entitlement; deprivation; low cost, high incidence SEN; lump sum or pupil mobility.

5. Do you agree that the funding for the following current factors which are no longer compliant should be funded through the basic per-pupil entitlement?
- (a) Teachers Pay Grant

Yes No Not Sure

(b) Small school protection

Yes No Not Sure

(c) Catering

Yes No Not Sure

(d) New Schools; and

Yes No Not Sure

(e) Partial Class Size

Yes No Not Sure

Comments:

The attached schedule shows the breakdown of the former mainstream grants factor in the current formula which is also no longer compliant. The compliant factors which could be used to distribute this funding are: basic pupil entitlement; deprivation; low cost, high incidence SEN; English as an additional language; lump sum and / or pupil mobility.

6. Do you agree that the funding for the former mainstream grants, which is also no longer compliant under the new arrangements, should be funded through a combination of:

- (a) Basic per-pupil entitlement
- (b) Deprivation; and
- (c) Low cost / high incidence SEN

Yes No Not Sure

Comments:

Slough has already delivered a key stage 1 expansion programme to support rapid growth in pupil numbers to date. This growth is now feeding through into key stage 2 and expansion in secondary places will be required in the future. In order to support coordinated growth provision, Slough is able to create a growth fund from the Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) in advance of allocating school budget shares. The growth fund will be ring-fenced so that it is only used for the purposes of supporting growth in pupil numbers to meet basic need and will be for the benefit of both maintained schools and Academies.

7. Do you support the central retention of a Growth Fund for funding significant pre-16 pupil growth in primary schools, secondary schools and Academies?

Yes

No

Not Sure

Comments:

Schools Forum is required to approve the transparent and consistent criteria on which any growth funding is to be allocated and agree any allocations.

8. Do you agree with the following proposed criteria for the Growth Fund?
- (a) For each new form of entry recommended by the School Organisation Committee and endorsed by Schools Forum, the appropriate AWPU for a full class; and
 - (b) For each bulge class provided at the request of the local authority and endorsed by Schools Forum, the appropriate AWPU for a full class.

In either case, funding to continue until actual pupil numbers for the new form of entry or bulge class is included in the October count and funded through the formula.

Yes

No

Not Sure

Comments:

It is proposed that the current contingencies held for Significant September Intake (£195k) and School Places (£189k) are transferred into the Growth fund. Any funds remaining at the end of the financial year will be added to the following year's DSG and reallocated to maintained schools and Academies through the formula.

9. Do you agree that the contingency funding previously held centrally is transferred into a Growth Fund?

Yes

No

Not Sure

Comments:

One of the intentions of the new arrangements is to establish schools as commissioners through the maximum delegation of responsibilities and funding.

10. Do you agree that the contingency funding previously held centrally or distributed to Academies through LACSEG for rates variations and changes in DSG pupil numbers should be delegated to all maintained schools and Academies using the basic per-pupil entitlement factor?

Yes

No

Not Sure

Comments:

11. Do you agree that the funding previously held centrally or distributed to Academies through LACSEG for the following:

(a) 14-16 practical learning

Yes No Not Sure

(b) Behaviour Support Services

Yes No Not Sure

(c) Staff costs supply cover: public service

Yes No Not Sure

(d) Staff costs supply cover: trade union

Yes No Not Sure

should be delegated using the basic per-pupil entitlement factor or the low cost, high incidence SEN factor?

Comments:

There are some services where the regulations allow optional de-delegation for maintained schools only.

12. Do you support the de-delegation of maintained school funding for Behaviour Support Services in 2013-14 to support the transition from a Council delivered service and development of provision?

Yes

No

Not Sure

Comments:

13. Do you support the de-delegation of funding for any of the following:

- (a) Contingency
- (b) Staff costs – supply cover (Trade Union)
- (c) Staff costs – supply cover (General)

Yes

No

Not Sure

Comments:

- 14. Do you have any other comments on the proposed formula?**
- 15. Do you have any other comments on the proposed arrangements for the notional Schools Block?**

Yes

No

Not Sure

Comments:

Thank you for taking the time to let us have your views.

Completed questionnaires and other responses should be sent to the address shown below by 11 October 2012.

Send by post to: Wendy Sagar, Schools Funding Reform Project manager, 3rd Floor West, St. Martin's Place, 51 Bath Road, Slough. SL1 3UF

Send by e-mail to: wendy.sagar@slough.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

**SLOUGH SCHOOLS FORUM
4th July 2012**

**Dedicated Schools Grant Headroom
(Director of Education & Children's Services)**

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 To present to Schools Forum an update on funds available together with further proposals on the distribution of those funds.

2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 That Schools Forum notes the remaining one-off headroom of **£446,000** for 2012-13.
- 2.2 That Schools Forum endorses the flowing proposals:
- That **£70,000** is set aside to support PRU activities at Littledown during 2012-13; and
 - That the £30,000 allocated to support the development of new secondary school places, is shared equally between the three successful projects.
- 2.3 That Schools Forum notes that a reduced balance, **£376,000**, is retained to support funding the implementation of new resource unit places from September 2012.

3 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 It is appropriate for Schools Forum be aware of the amount of headroom and to agree to the retention and distribution of any funds.

4 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

- 4.1 Not applicable.

5 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- 5.1 Schools Forum will recall that in July 2012 a summary of headroom was provided. At the meeting, Schools Forum approved a range of ongoing (£729k) and one-off (£2,738k) allocations. As approved at the meeting, a further £8k one-off headroom was allocated to primary and secondary PRUs.
- 5.2 Appendix A shows the one-off headroom and allocations previously reported and approved by Schools Forum, together with updates and new recommendations.

On Going Headroom

- 5.3 On going headroom is that funding which officers anticipate will be available on an annual basis. This assumes that the funding mechanism remains broadly in line with how it currently operates.
- 5.4 Schools Forum will note that the headroom has now reduced to £651,604 following final confirmation of the 2012-13 Dedicated Schools Grant and has been fully allocated.

One-off Headroom

- 5.5 One off headroom is that funding which is available on a one off basis in 2012-13 only. The result of the updates is to make a maximum **£446,000** available for redistribution.
- 5.6 Following discussions with Slough Schools Education Forum (SSEF), it is proposed that this funding be distributed to meet three priorities.
- 5.7 The first proposal is that in response to a business case from Littledown an initial sum of **£70,000** is set aside to support PRU activities during 2012-13. The allocation of this funding and any possible revision of this figure will be determined following the transfer of Services Supporting Behaviour to Littledown and Haybrook College when consideration will have been given to the financial requirements for effective delivery once services have been integrated, rationalised and any economies determined..
- 5.8 With effect from 1 April 2013, it is expected that these activities will be reconfigured along with Outreach and Services Supporting behaviour and that schools may commission and pay for this service from Littledown.
- 5.9 As previously reported, the current pressure on primary school places will generate pressure on secondary school places in the coming years. At the last Schools Forum, a one-off allocation, £30,000, was approved towards the ongoing work being undertaken by secondary head teachers. Since then, it has been announced that 3 secondary free school projects have been approved.
- 5.10 The next proposal is that a one-off sum of £10,000 is allocated to each of the approved Free School projects.
- 5.11 The final priority is to support the opening of additional Resource Units in early years, primary and secondary provision across Slough. Proposals to add 80 places have been developed and provision has been made within capital resources to build the Resource Units. The 80 places would all be at new Band 3 (£15,000 per place). Revenue

funding to a maximum £1,200,000 would be required if all places were commissioned and filled in a full financial year. In the meantime, it is estimated that 70 places will be filled from 1 September at a cost of £612,500 in 2012-13. It is proposed that the remaining one-off headroom, **£376,000**, is retained to support funding these places pending further review of all budgets for provision for pupils with High Needs.

6 ADVICE RECEIVED FROM STATUTORY AND OTHER OFFICERS

Borough Solicitor

- 6.1 The relevant legal provisions are contained within the main body of this report.

Section 151 Officer

- 6.2 The level of headroom represents less than 0.5% of the annual grant and as such is deemed to be insufficient to protect Schools and the Council against unforeseen operational risks. This situation requires further review to ensure adequate levels of reserves are maintained.

Access Implications

- 6.3 There are no access implications.

7 CONSULTATION

Principal Groups Consulted

- 7.1 None.

Method of Consultation

- 7.2 Not applicable.

Representations Received

- 7.3 Not applicable.

Background Papers

Appendix A – Summary of Headroom

Contact for further information

Wendy Sagar, Interim Strategic Education Finance Partner (01753 875627) wendy.sagar@slough.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

	2012-13 Headroom
	One-Off
	£'000
Headroom Reported to Schools Forum 7 July	3,636
Deduct Budget Carry Forward	310
Deduct commitments 2011-12 Headroom	427
Allocated at Schools Forum 7 July	
Financial Support St. Josephs	1,000
All Schools Headroom	1,000
Haybrook College	150
Slough Learning Partnership	140
SLP - Broadband	50
SEN Review - RU	
SEN Review - Special	
Project Mgt new secondary	30
PRU Headroom	8
Total commitments and allocations	<u>3,115</u>
Remaining on-off headroom	521
Reduction following closure of Accounts review	75
Total Remaining One-off Headroom	<u>446</u>
Proposals for allocation 12 September:	
Littledown Outreach	70
Allocated to new Resource Units	376
Total proposals 12 September	<u>446</u>

This page is intentionally left blank